From: Environmental Resea Fax: (866) 234-6280 To: Premium Fax Filing Alar Fax: (510) 267-5739 Page 7 of 29 07/30/2018 3:34 PM FILED BY FAX Michael Freund SBN 99687 1 ALAMEDA COUNTY Rvan Hoffman SBN 283297 Michael Freund & Associates July 30, 2018 1919 Addison Street, Suite 105 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT 3 Berkeley, CA 94704 By Lynn Wiley, Deputy Telephone: (510) 540-1992 4 CASE NUMBER: Facsimile: (510) 540-5543 RG18914802 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc. 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 10 ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, CASE NO. 11 INC., a California non-profit corporation COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE 12 Plaintiff, AND DECLARATORY RELIEF AND 13 VS. CIVIL PENALTIES 14 HOTZE HEALTH & WELLNESS CENTER Toxic Tort/Environmental (30) INTERNATIONAL ONE, L.L.C., 15 Proposition 65, Health & Safety Code individually and doing business as HOTZE Section 25249.5 et seq.1 16 VITAMINS; PHYSICIAN'S PREFERENCE INTERNATIONAL, LP, individually and 17 doing business as HOTZE VITAMINS; BRAIDWOOD MANAGEMENT, INC., 18 individually and doing business as HOTZE 19 VITAMINS; and DOES 1-100 20 Defendants. 21 22 23 24 Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc. hereby alleges: 25 I 26 INTRODUCTION 27 1. Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc. (hereinafter "Plaintiff" or "ERC") brings 28 this action as a private attorney general enforcer and in the public interest pursuant to Health & Page 1 of 9

Complaint for Injunctive and Declaratory Relief and Civil Penalties

Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (d). The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Health & Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq.) also known as "Proposition 65," mandates that businesses with ten or more employees must provide a "clear and reasonable warning" prior to exposing any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity. Lead is a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects, and other reproductive harm. This complaint seeks injunctive and declaratory relief and civil penalties to remedy the ongoing failure of Defendants Hotze Health & Wellness Center International One, L.L.C., individually and doing business as Hotze Vitamins; Physician's Preference International, LP, individually and doing business as Hotze Vitamins; Braidwood Management, Inc., individually and doing business as Hotze Vitamins (collectively, "Hotze Vitamins"); and Does 1-100 (hereinafter individually referred to as "Defendant" or collectively as "Defendants"), to warn consumers that they have been exposed to lead from a number of Hotze Vitamins' nutritional health products as set forth in paragraph 3 at levels exceeding the applicable Maximum Allowable Dose Level ("MADL") and requiring a warning pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 25249.6.

II

PARTIES

- Plaintiff ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.
- 3. Defendant Hotze Vitamins is a business that develops, manufactures, markets, distributes, and/or sells nutritional health products that have exposed users to lead in the State of California within the relevant statute of limitations period. These "SUBJECT PRODUCTS" (as identified in the Notice of Violation dated May 10, 2018 attached hereto as Exhibit A) are: (1) Hotze Vitamins Pure Cleanse Functional Detoxification Powder Natural Berry Flavor; (2) Hotze Vitamins Pure Pea Protein Natural Vanilla Flavor, (3) Hotze Vitamins Pure Pea Protein Natural Chocolate Flavor, (4) Hotze Vitamins Optimal Greens Detoxification and Mental

	Clarity Lemon-Lime Flavor, (5) Hotze Vitamins Bodyworks Plus by Dr Hotze, (6) Hotze
	Vitamins Fiber Blend Plus Probiotics, (7) Hotze Vitamins Milk Thistle Extract 150 mg, (8)
	Hotze Vitamins Dr Hotze's Mocha Protein Bar, (9) My Hotze Pak Detox Starter Pak which
	includes the following products: a. My Hotze Pak Detox Starter Pak Breakfast, b. My Hotze Pak
	Detox Starter Pak Lunch, and c. My Hotze Pak Detox Starter Pak Dinner; (10) My Hotze Pak
	Skinny Pak which includes the following products: a. My Hotze Pak Skinny Pak Breakfast and
	b. My Hotze Pak Skinny Pak Dinner; 11) Hotze Vitamins Dr. Hotze's Dark Chocolate Coconut
0.000	Bar, (12) My Hotze Pak 14 Day Detox Kit which includes the following products: a. My Hotze
Street	Pak 14 Day Detox Kit Bedtime, b. My Hotze Pak 14 Day Detox Kit Dinner; c. My Hotze Pak
	14 Day Detox Kit Breakfast, d. My Hotze Pak 14 Day Detox Kit Upon Rising, e. Hotze
3	Vitamins Pure Cleanse Functional Detoxification Powder Natural Berry Flavor, and f. Hotze
0000	Vitamins Pure Pea Protein Natural Vanilla Flavor; and (13) Hotze Vitamins Cranberry
	Concentrate. Hotze Vitamins is a company subject to Proposition 65 as it employs ten or more
	persons and has employed ten or more persons at all times relevant to this action.
	4. Defendants Does 1-100, are named herein under fictitious names, as their true names
	and canacities are unknown to EDC EDC is informed and believes and the same all and the same and the same all and the same al

4. Defendants Does 1-100, are named herein under fictitious names, as their true names and capacities are unknown to ERC. ERC is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of said Does is responsible, in some actionable manner, for the events and happenings hereinafter referred to, either through said Does' conduct, or through the conduct of its agents, servants or employees, or in some other manner, causing the harms alleged by ERC in this complaint. When said true names and capacities of Does are ascertained, ERC will seek leave to amend this complaint to set forth the same.

Ш

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, Section 10, which grants the Superior Court original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts. The statute under which this action is brought does not specify any other basis for jurisdiction.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

State of California so as to render the exercise of jurisdiction over it by the California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice. 7. The Complaint is based on allegations contained in the Notice of Violation dated May 10, 2018, served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and Hotze Vitamins. The Notice of Violation constitutes adequate notice to Hotze Vitamins because it provided adequate information to allow Hotze Vitamins to assess the nature of the alleged violations, consistent with Proposition 65 and its implementing regulations. A certificate of merit and a certificate of service accompanied each copy of the Notice of Violation, and both certificates comply with Proposition 65 and its implementing regulations. The Notice of Violation served on Hotze Vitamins also included a copy of "The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary." Service of the Notice of

6. This Court has jurisdiction over Hotze Vitamins because Hotze Vitamins has sufficient

8. This Court is the proper venue for the action because the causes of action have arisen in the County of Alameda where some of the violations of law have occurred, and will continue to occur, due to the ongoing sale of Hotze Vitamins' products. Furthermore, venue is proper in this Court under Code of Civil Procedure section 395.5 and Health & Safety Code section 25249.7.

Violation and accompanying documents complied with Proposition 65 and its implementing

and associated documents. More than 60 days have passed since ERC mailed the Notice of

Violation and no public enforcement entity has filed a complaint in this case.

regulations. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of this Notice of Violation

IV

STATUTORY BACKGROUND

9. The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 is an initiative statute passed as "Proposition 65" by an overwhelming majority vote of the people in November of 1986.

10. The warning requirement of Proposition 65 is contained in Health & Safety Code section 25249.6, which provides:

No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual, except as provided in Section 25249.10.

11. Implementing regulations for Proposition 65 define expose as "to cause to ingest, inhale, contact via body surfaces or otherwise come into contact with a listed chemical." An individual may come into contact with a listed chemical through water, air, food, consumer products and any other environmental exposure as well as occupational exposures." (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 27, § 25102, subd. (i).)

12. In this case, the exposures are caused by consumer products. Implementing regulations for Proposition 65 define a consumer product exposure as "an exposure which results from a person's acquisition, purchase, storage, consumption, or other reasonably foreseeable use of a consumer good, or any exposure that results from receiving a consumer service." (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 27, § 25602, subd. (b).)

13. Whenever a clear and reasonable warning is required under Health & Safety Code section 25249.6, the "method employed to transmit the warning must be reasonably calculated considering the alternative methods available under the circumstances, to make the warning message available prior to exposure." (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 27, §25601.) The warning requirement may be satisfied by a warning that appears on a product's label or other labeling, shelf labeling, signs, a system of signs, public advertising identifying the system and toll-free information services, or any other system, that provides clear and reasonable warnings. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 27, §25603.1, subd. (a)-(d).)

14. Proposition 65 establishes a procedure by which the State is to develop a list of chemicals "known to the State to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity." (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.8.) There is no duty to provide a clear and reasonable warning until 12-months after the chemical is published on the State list. (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.10, subd. (b).)

15. Lead was listed as a chemical known to the State of California to cause developmental
toxicity in the fetus and male and female reproductive toxicity on February 27, 1987. Lead wa
listed as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer on October 1, 1992. (Stat
of California EPA OEHHA Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986
Chemicals Known to the State to Cause Cancer and Reproductive Toxicity.) The MADL for
lead as a chemical known to cause reproductive toxicity is 0.5 micrograms per day. (Cal. Code
Regs., tit. 27, §25805, subd. (b).) The No Significant Risk Level for lead as a carcinogen is 15
micrograms per day. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 27, §25705, subd. (b).)

16. Proposition 65 provides that any person "violating or threatening to violate" Proposition 65 may be enjoined in any court of competent jurisdiction. (Health & Safety Code, §25249.7, subd. (a).) To "threaten to violate" means "to create a condition in which there is a substantial probability that a violation will occur." (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.11, subd. (e).) Furthermore, violators are subject to a civil penalty of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.7, subd. (b)(1).)

17. Proposition 65 may be enforced by any person in the public interest who provides notice sixty days before filing suit to both the violator and designated law enforcement officials. The failure of law enforcement officials to file a timely complaint enables a citizen suit to be filed pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivisions (c) and (d).

V

STATEMENT OF FACTS

18. Hotze Vitamins has developed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the SUBJECT PRODUCTS containing lead into the State of California. Consumption of the SUBJECT PRODUCTS according to the directions and/or recommendations provided for said products causes consumers to be exposed to lead at levels exceeding the 0.5 micrograms per day MADL and requiring a warning. Consumers have been ingesting these products for many years, without any knowledge of their exposure to lead, a very dangerous chemical.

19. For many years, Hotze Vitamins has knowingly and intentionally exposed numerous persons to lead without providing a Proposition 65 warning. Prior to ERC's Notice of Violation

and this Complaint, Hotze Vitamins failed to provide a warning on the labels of the SUBJECT PRODUCTS. Hotze Vitamins has at all times relevant hereto been aware that the SUBJECT PRODUCTS contained lead and that persons using these products have been exposed to this chemical. Hotze Vitamins has been aware of the presence of lead in the SUBJECT PRODUCTS and has failed to disclose the presence of this chemical to the public, who undoubtedly believe they have been ingesting totally healthy and pure products pursuant to the company's statements. On the company's website (https://www.hotzevitamins.com/our-story), various representations from Steven F. Hotze, M.D. are conveyed regarding the quality and beneficial nature of its products including but not limited to the following:

- * "Our goal is to help you achieve health and wellness naturally so that you may enjoy a better quality of life, and these products will help to ensure that."
- * "All of the products designed for Hotze Vitamins® are formulated at state-ofthe-art facilities following strict Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP) guidelines."
- * "As a medical doctor, I am convinced of the absolute need for vitamin and mineral supplementation, and I can assure you that all of the Hotze Vitamins® nutritional products have been researched and developed with your good health in mind."
- 20. Both prior and subsequent to ERC's Notice of Violation, Hotze Vitamins failed to provide consumers of the SUBJECT PRODUCTS with a clear and reasonable warning that they have been exposed to a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm. This failure to warn is ongoing.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Violation of Section 25249.6 of the Health and Safety Code, Failure to Provide Clear and Reasonable Warning under Proposition 65)

- ERC refers to paragraphs 1-20, inclusive, and incorporates them herein by this reference.
- 22. By committing the acts alleged above, Hotze Vitamins has, in the course of doing business, knowingly and intentionally exposed users of the SUBJECT PRODUCTS to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects, and other reproductive

harm, without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individuals within the meaning of Health & Safety Code section 25249.6. In doing so, Hotze Vitamins has violated Health & Safety Code section 25249.6 and continues to violate the statute with each successive sale of the SUBJECT PRODUCTS.

23. Said violations render Hotze Vitamins liable for civil penalties, up to \$2,500 per day for each violation, and subject Hotze Vitamins to injunction.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (Declaratory Relief)

- 24. ERC refers to paragraphs 1-23, inclusive, and incorporates them herein by this reference.
- 25. There exists an actual controversy relating to the legal rights and duties of the Parties, within the meaning of Code of Civil Procedure section 1060, between ERC and Hotze Vitamins, concerning whether Hotze Vitamins has exposed individuals to a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects, and other reproductive harm without providing clear and reasonable warning.

VI

PRAYER

WHEREFORE ERC prays for relief as follows:

- On the First Cause of Action, for civil penalties for each and every violation according to proof;
- 2. On the First Cause of Action, and pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (a), for such temporary restraining orders, preliminary and permanent injunctive orders, or other orders as are necessary to prevent Hotze Vitamins from exposing persons to lead without providing clear and reasonable warning;
- On the Second Cause of Action, for a declaratory judgment pursuant to Code of Civil
 Procedure section 1060 declaring that Hotze Vitamins has exposed individuals to lead without
 providing clear and reasonable warning; and
 - 4. On all Causes of Action, for reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to Code of Civil

Procedure section 1021.5 or the substantial benefit theory; 5. For costs of suit herein; and 6. For such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper. DATED: July 30, 2018 MICHAEL FREUND & ASSOCIATES m1-Michael Freund Ryan Hoffman Attorneys for Plaintiff ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC. Page 9 of 9 Complaint for Injunctive and Declaratory Relief and Civil Penalties

EXHIBIT A

Michael Freund & Associates

1919 Addison Street, Suite 105 Berkeley, CA 94704 Voice: 510.540.1992 • Fax: 510.540.5543

Michael Freund, Esq.

Ryan Hoffman, Esq.

May 10, 2018

NOTICE OF VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.5 ET SEQ. (PROPOSITION 65)

Dear Alleged Violators and the Appropriate Public Enforcement Agencies:

I represent Environmental Research Center, Inc. ("ERC"), 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400, San Diego, CA 92108; Tel. (619) 500-3090. ERC's Executive Director is Chris Heptinstall. ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

ERC has identified violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65"), which is codified at California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq., with respect to the products identified below. These violations have occurred and continue to occur because the alleged Violators identified below failed to provide required clear and reasonable warnings with these products. This letter serves as a notice of these violations to the alleged Violators and the appropriate public enforcement agencies. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d), ERC intends to file a private enforcement action in the public interest 60 days after effective service of this notice unless the public enforcement agencies have commenced and are diligently prosecuting an action to rectify these violations.

General Information about Proposition 65. A copy of a summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is enclosed with this letter served to the alleged Violators identified below.

Alleged Violators. The names of the companies covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter the "Violators") are:

Hotze Health & Wellness Center International One, L.L.C., individually and doing business as Hotze Vitamins

Physician's Preference International, LP, individually and doing business as Hotze Vitamins Braidwood Management, Inc., individually and doing business as Hotze Vitamins

<u>Consumer Products and Listed Chemical</u>. The products that are the subject of this notice and the chemical in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

- 1. Hotze Vitamins Pure Cleanse Functional Detoxification Powder Natural Berry Flavor Lead
- 2. Hotze Vitamins Pure Pea Protein Natural Vanilla Flavor Lead
- 3. Hotze Vitamins Pure Pea Protein Natural Chocolate Flavor Lead
- 4. Hotze Vitamins Optimal Greens Detoxification and Mental Clarity Lemon-Lime Flavor Lead
- 5. Hotze Vitamins Bodyworks Plus by Dr Hotze Lead
- 6. Hotze Vitiamins Fiber Blend Plus Probiotics Lead
- 7. Hotze Vitamins Milk Thistle Extract 150 mg Lead
- 8. Hotze Vitamins Dr Hotze's Mocha Protein Bar Lead
- 9. My Hotze Pak Detox Starter Pak Lead
 - a. My Hotze Pak Detox Starter Pak Breakfast
 - b. My Hotze Pak Detox Starter Pak Lunch
 - c. My Hotze Pak Detox Starter Pak Dinner

- 10. My Hotze Pak Skinny Pak Lead
 - a. My Hotze Pak Skinny Pak Breakfast
 - b. My Hotze Pak Skinny Pak Dinner
- 11. Hotze Vitamins Dr. Hotze's Dark Chocolate Coconut Bar Lead
- 12. My Hotze Pak 14 Day Detox Kit Lead
 - a. My Hotze Pak 14 Day Detox Kit Bedtime
 - b. My Hotze Pak 14 Day Detox Kit Dinner
 - c. My Hotze Pak 14 Day Detox Kit Breakfast
 - d. My Hotze Pak 14 Day Detox Kit Upon Rising
 - e. Hotze Vitamins Pure Cleanse Functional Detoxification Powder Natural Berry Flavor
 - f. Hotze Vitamins Pure Pea Protein Natural Vanilla Flavor
- 13. Hotze Vitamins Cranberry Concentrate Lead

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer.

It should be noted that ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations and result in subsequent notices of violations.

Route of Exposure. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the recommended use of these products. Consequently, the route of exposure to this chemical has been and continues to be through ingestion.

Approximate Time Period of Violations. Ongoing violations have occurred every day since at least May 10, 2015, as well as every day since the products were introduced into the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users or until this known toxic chemical is either removed from or reduced to allowable levels in the products. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemical. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product label. The Violators violated Proposition 65 because they failed to provide persons ingesting these products with appropriate warnings that they are being exposed to this chemical.

Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and a desire to have these ongoing violations of California law quickly rectified, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter that includes an enforceable written agreement by the Violators to: (1) reformulate the identified products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemical, or provide appropriate warnings on the labels of these products; (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty; and (3) provide clear and reasonable warnings compliant with Proposition 65 to all persons located in California who purchased the above products in the last three years. Such a resolution will prevent further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemical, as well as an expensive and time-consuming litigation.

ERC has retained me as legal counsel in connection with this matter. Please direct all communications regarding this Notice of Violation to my attention at the law office address and telephone number indicated on the letterhead.

Sincerely,

Michael Freund

Michel Freund

Attachments

Certificate of Merit Certificate of Service

OEHHA Summary (to Hotze Health & Wellness Center International One, L.L.C., individually and doing business as Hotze Vitamins; Physician's Preference International, LP, individually and doing business as Hotze Vitamins; Braidwood Management, Inc., individually and doing business as Hotze Vitamins and their Registered Agents for Service of Process only)

Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Environmental Research Center, Inc.'s Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Hotze Health & Wellness Center International One, L.L.C., individually and doing business as Hotze Vitamins; Physician's Preference International, LP, individually and doing business as Hotze Vitamins; and Braidwood Management, Inc., individually and doing business as Hotze Vitamins

I, Michael Freund, declare:

- This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached 60-day notice in which it is alleged that the parties identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
 - I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged Violators will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Milas French

Dated: May 10, 2018

Michael Freund

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE PURSUANT TO 27 CCR § 25903

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States and over the age of 18 years of age. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was placed in the mail at Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

On May 10, 2018 between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY" on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties listed below and depositing it at a U.S. Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current President or CEO
Hotze Health & Wellness Center
International One, L.L.C., individually and
doing business as Hotze Vitamins
20214 Braidwood Drive, Suite 215
Katy, TX 77450

Current President or CEO
Hotze Health & Wellness Center
International One, L.L.C., individually and
doing business as Hotze Vitamins
20214 Braidwood Drive, Suite 160
Katy, TX 77450

Current President or CEO
Physician's Preference International, LP,
individually and doing business as Hotze Vitamins
20214 Braidwood Drive, Suite 215
Katy, TX 77450

Current President or CEO
Physician's Preference International, LP,
individually and doing business as Hotze Vitamins
20214 Braidwood Drive, Suite 160
Katy, TX 77450

Current President or CEO
Braidwood Management, Inc., individually
and doing business as Hotze Vitamins
20214 Braidwood Drive, Suite 215
Katy, TX 77450

· 15 ...

Current President or CEO
Braidwood Management, Inc., individually
and doing business as Hotze Vitamins
20214 Braidwood Drive, Suite 160
Katy, TX 77450

Steven F. Hotze
(Registered Agent for Hotze Health & Wellness Center
International One, L.L.C., individually and
doing business as Hotze Vitamins)
20214 Braidwood Drive, Suite 215
Katy, TX 77450

Steven F. Hotze (Registered Agent for Braidwood Management, Inc., individually and doing business as Hotze Vitamins) 20214 Braidwood Drive, Suite 215 Katy, TX 77450

Steven F. Hotze, M.D.
(Registered Agent for Physician's Preference
International, LP, individually and doing business
as Hotze Vitamins)
20214 Braidwood Drive, Suite 215
Katy, TX 77450

On May 10, 2018 between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, I verified the following documents NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) were served on the following party when a true and correct copy thereof was uploaded on the California Attorney General's website, which can be accessed at https://oag.ca.gov/prop65/add-60-day-notice:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On May 10, 2018 between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, I verified the following documents NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT were served on the following parties when a true and correct copy thereof was sent via electronic mail to each of the parties listed below:

Stacey Grassini, Deputy District Attorney Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553 sgrassini@contracostada.org

Michelle Latimer, Program Coordinator Lassen County 220 S. Lassen Street Susanville, CA 96130 mlatimer@co.lassen.ca.us

Dije Ndreu, Deputy District Attorney Monterey County 1200 Aguajito Road Monterey, CA 93940 Prop65DA@co.monterey.ca.us

Allison Haley, District Attorney Napa County 1127 First Street, Suite C Napa, CA 94559 CEPD@countyofnapa.org

Paul E. Zellerbach, District Attorney Riverside County 3072 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501 Prop65@rivcoda.org

Anne Marie Schubert, District Attorney Sacramento County 901 G Street Sacramento, CA 95814 Prop65@sacda.org Kathryn L. Turner, Chief Deputy City Attorney San Diego City Attorney 1200 Third Avenue San Diego, CA 92101 CityAttyCrimProp65@sandiego.gov

Gregory Alker, Assistant District Attorney San Francisco County 732 Brannan Street San Francisco, CA 94103 gregory.alker@sfgov.org

Tori Verber Salazar, District Attorney San Joaquin County 222 E. Weber Avenue, Room 202 Stockton, CA 95202 DAConsumer.Environmental@sjcda.org

Eric J. Dobroth, Deputy District Attorney San Luis Obispo County County Government Center Annex, 4th Floor San Luis Obispo, CA 93408 edobroth@co.slo.ca.us

Christopher Dalbey, Deputy District Attorney Santa Barbara County 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101 DAProp65@co.santa-barbara.ca.us

Yen Dang, Supervising Deputy District Attorney Santa Clara County 70 W Hedding St San Jose, CA 95110 EPU@da.sccgov.org

Jeffrey S. Rosell, District Attorney Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street Santa Cruz, CA 95060 Prop65DA@santacruzcounty.us

Stephan R. Passalacqua, District Attorney Sonoma County 600 Administration Dr Sonoma, CA 95403 jbarnes@sonoma-county.org

Phillip J. Cline, District Attorney Tulare County 221 S Mooney Blvd Visalia, CA 95370 Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us Gregory D. Totten, District Attorney Ventura County 800 S Victoria Ave Ventura, CA 93009 daspecialops@ventura.org

Jeff W. Reisig, District Attorney Yolo County 301 Second Street Woodland, CA 95695 cfepd@yolocounty.org

On May 10, 2018 between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it at a U.S. Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by First Class Mail.

Executed on May 10, 2018, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Phyllis Dunwoody	

Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Suite 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street, Suite 202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive, Suite 245 Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 346 Fifth Street Suite 101 Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Room 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, Suite 1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street 4th Floor Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 940 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County P.O. Drawer D Independence, CA 93526

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301 District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Los Angeles County Hall of Justice 211 West Temple St., Ste 1200 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 550 W. Main Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Nevada County 201 Commercial Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 West Civic Center Drive Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678 District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney,San Bernardino County 303 West Third Street San Bernadino, CA 92415

District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Suite 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Shasta County 1355 West Street Redding, CA 96001

District Attorney, Sierra County 100 Courthouse Square, 2rd Floor Downieville, CA 95936

District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95354

District Attorney, Sutter County 463 2nd Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093 District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street, Suite 152 Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Suite 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Francisco, City Attorney City Hall, Room 234 1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett PL San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor San Jose, CA 95113

APPENDIX A

OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENT CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. The reader is directed to the statute and OEHHA implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information.

FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING THE BASIS FOR THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE NOTICE RELATED TO YOUR BUSINESS, CONTACT THE PERSON IDENTIFIED ON THE NOTICE.

The text of Proposition 65 (Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13) is available online at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65law72003.html. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 25102 through 27001.¹ These implementing regulations are available online at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65Regs.html.

WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

¹ All further regulatory references are to sections of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations unless otherwise indicated. The statute, regulations and relevant case law are available on the OEHHA website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html.

The "Proposition 65 List." Under Proposition 65, the lead agency (OEHHA) publishes a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. Chemicals are placed on the Proposition 65 list if they are known to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm, such as damage to female or male reproductive systems or to the developing fetus. This list must be updated at least once a year. The current Proposition 65 list of chemicals is available on the OEHHA website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65 list/Newlist.html.

Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under Proposition 65.

Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activities involving listed chemicals must comply with the following:

Clear and reasonable warnings. A business is required to warn a person before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing that person to a listed chemical unless an exemption applies. The warning given must be "clear and reasonable." This means that the warning must: (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm; and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed to that chemical. Some exposures are exempt from the warning requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

Prohibition from discharges into drinking water. A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Some discharges are exempt from this requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes. You should consult the current version of the statute and regulations (http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html) to determine all applicable exemptions, the most common of which are the following:

Grace Period. Proposition 65 warning requirements do not apply until 12 months after the chemical has been listed. The Proposition 65 discharge prohibition does not apply to a discharge or release of a chemical that takes place less than 20 months after the listing of the chemical.

Governmental agencies and public water utilities. All agencies of the federal, state or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt.

Businesses with nine or fewer employees. Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employe a total of nine or fewer employees. This includes all employees, not just those present in California.

Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer. For chemicals that are listed under Proposition 65 as known to the State to cause cancer, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses "no significant risk." This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific "No Significant Risk Levels" (NSRLs) for many listed carcinogens. Exposures below these levels are exempt from the warning requirement. See OEHHA's website at:

http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html for a list of NSRLs, and Section 25701 et seq. of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question. For chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the "no observable effect level" divided by 1,000. This number is known as the Maximum Allowable Dose Level (MADL). See OEHHA's website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html for a list of MADLs, and Section 25801 et seq. of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures to Naturally Occurring Chemicals in Food. Certain exposures to chemicals that naturally occur in foods (i.e., that do not result from any known human activity, including activity by someone other than the person causing the exposure) are exempt from the warning requirements of the law. If the chemical is a contaminant² it must be reduced to the lowest level feasible. Regulations explaining this exemption can be found in Section 25501.

Discharges that do not result in a "significant amount" of the listed chemical entering any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger is able to demonstrate that a "significant amount" of the listed chemical has not, does not, or will not pass into or probably pass into a source of drinking water, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A "significant amount" means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the "no significant risk" level for

² See Section 25501(a)(4).

chemicals that cause cancer or that is 1,000 times below the "no observable effect" level for chemicals that cause reproductive toxicity, if an individual were exposed to that amount in drinking water.

HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys. Lawsuits may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. The notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in Section 25903 of Title 27 and sections 3100-3103 of Title 11. A private party may not pursue an independent enforcement action under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an enforcement action within sixty days of the notice.

A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court to stop committing the violation.

A private party may not file an enforcement action based on certain exposures if the alleged violator meets specific conditions. For the following types of exposures, the Act provides an opportunity for the business to correct the alleged violation:

- An exposure to alcoholic beverages that are consumed on the alleged violator's premises to the extent onsite consumption is permitted by law;
- An exposure to a Proposition 65 listed chemical in a food or beverage prepared and sold on the alleged violator's premises that is primarily intended for immediate consumption on- or off-premises. This only applies if the chemical was not intentionally added to the food, and was formed by cooking or similar preparation of food or beverage components necessary to render the food or beverage palatable or to avoid microbiological contamination;
- An exposure to environmental tobacco smoke caused by entry of persons (other than employees) on premises owned or operated by the alleged violator where smoking is permitted at any location on the premises;
- An exposure to listed chemicals in engine exhaust, to the extent the exposure occurs inside a facility owned or operated by the alleged violator and primarily intended for parking non-commercial vehicles.

If a private party alleges that a violation occurred based on one of the exposures described above, the private party must first provide the alleged violator a notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form.

A copy of the notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form is included in Appendix B and can be downloaded from OEHHA's website at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/p65law72003.html.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE LAW OR REGULATIONS...

Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900 or via e-mail at P65Public.Comments@oehha.ca.gov.

Revised: May 2017

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 25249.12, Health and Safety Code. Reference: Sections 25249.5, 25249.6, 25249.7, 25249.9, 25249.10 and 25249.11, Health and Safety Code.